

GAMBLING ARGOT AND SOCIAL DIALECTS

Sayidov Shukrullo Khaliljonovich

Fergana state technical university, “Teaching Uzbek and foreign languages department”, assistant
teacher

E-mail: sayidovshukrullo1991@gmail.com

Tel: +998 95 109 00 91

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23499657>

Abstract: *Language variation is closely connected to social structure, professional activities, and group identity. Among the most distinctive forms of linguistic variation are argot, slang, and specialized gambling vocabulary. This article examines gambling argot as a sociolinguistic phenomenon, focusing on its lexical, semantic, and pragmatic characteristics. Drawing upon historical descriptions of French argot, English gambling slang, and legal discourse concerning gambling, the study investigates how gambling communities develop unique linguistic systems that function as markers of identity, secrecy, and social differentiation. The analysis demonstrates that gambling argot is characterized by metaphorical innovation, semantic shifts, lexical creativity, and rapid vocabulary renewal. The findings suggest that gambling terminology constitutes a specialized social dialect whose functions extend beyond communication to include social cohesion, exclusion of outsiders, and the construction of group identity.*

Keywords: *sociolinguistics, argot, gambling terminology, gambling discourse, slang, social dialect, lexical innovation, semantic change, group identity, jargon*

INTRODUCTION

One of the central concerns of sociolinguistics is the relationship between language and social groups. Different communities develop distinct linguistic practices that reflect their occupations, lifestyles, values, and social positions. Such linguistic varieties often emerge as specialized vocabularies known as jargon, slang, or argot. Gambling communities represent a particularly rich environment for the development of specialized language. Throughout history, gambling has occupied an ambiguous social and legal position. Although legal regulations concerning gambling have varied considerably across countries and historical periods, gambling activities have consistently generated distinctive linguistic forms. These forms include technical terminology, metaphorical expressions, coded vocabulary, and slang. The present study explores gambling argot as a sociolinguistic phenomenon and examines the mechanisms through which gambling communities create, maintain, and transform their lexical systems.

Argot is traditionally defined as the language of socially restricted groups, particularly criminal, marginalized, or occupational communities. Unlike standard language, argot often serves purposes beyond ordinary communication. Its functions include secrecy, group solidarity, and social identification.



According to Charles Nodier, argot is an artificial and constantly changing language whose primary purpose is to disguise ideas through conventional metaphors. Because outsiders gradually become familiar with argot expressions, speakers continually create new lexical forms to preserve their exclusivity.

From a sociolinguistic perspective, argot can be viewed as a form of social dialect. Social dialects emerge when particular groups develop linguistic features that distinguish them from the broader speech community. These features may include specialized vocabulary, semantic innovations, phonological variations, and distinctive communicative norms.

The gambling community exemplifies this process. Through repeated interaction, gamblers develop shared linguistic conventions that facilitate communication while simultaneously reinforcing group membership.

The historical development of gambling terminology is closely connected to the legal and social status of gambling itself. In post-medieval England, gambling was not universally prohibited; however, governments sought to regulate its social consequences. Similar patterns appeared in colonial America, where attitudes toward gambling ranged from strict condemnation to limited tolerance.

Modern legal discourse frequently defines gambling through three fundamental elements. This definition has influenced legal and academic discussions concerning gambling. Nevertheless, gambling language extends far beyond legal terminology. Gamblers have developed extensive vocabularies describing games, betting strategies, participants, locations, and outcomes. The continuous evolution of gambling practices has contributed to the expansion and diversification of gambling-related lexicons.

One of the most striking characteristics of gambling argot is its lexical creativity. New expressions frequently emerge through metaphor, metonymy, semantic shift, and lexical borrowing. For example, the expression *hand like a fist* refers to a card player's possession of valuable trump cards. The metaphor associates a tightly closed fist with control, possession, and concealed value.

Similarly, the term *shake* developed the specialized meaning of "robbery." This semantic extension illustrates how gambling and criminal vocabularies often overlap through shared experiences of risk, deception, and economic gain. Other expressions demonstrate the role of contextual specialization: *hipgee* – money placed on a supposedly reliable betting tip; *whisper crib* – a gambling house; *hush-hush* – a gambling den or clandestine establishment; *skill* – an individual who assists gambling operators by attracting or misleading potential participants.

These expressions reveal the importance of metaphorical and symbolic language in gambling discourse. The semantic structure of gambling argot is characterized by several recurring features. Many gambling terms derive from ordinary vocabulary but acquire specialized meanings within gambling contexts. This process allows speakers to communicate efficiently while preserving semantic opacity for outsiders. Certain words become associated with highly specific activities. For example, terms originally referring to general social interaction may acquire specialized meanings connected to betting practices.



Gamblers frequently create entirely new expressions to describe emerging practices, technologies, and forms of wagering. Such innovations contribute to the dynamic nature of gambling language. Because gambling has often been associated with legal restrictions or social disapproval, euphemistic language plays a significant role in gambling discourse. Indirect expressions help conceal activities and reduce social stigma.

The social function of argot extends beyond communication. One of its primary purposes is the creation and maintenance of group identity. Specialized vocabulary enables members of a gambling community to recognize one another and establish social boundaries. Knowledge of gambling terminology functions as a form of symbolic capital, distinguishing experienced participants from newcomers. At the same time, argot serves as a mechanism of exclusion. Outsiders who lack familiarity with specialized vocabulary may struggle to understand conversations or participate fully in group activities. This dual function—facilitating internal communication while restricting external comprehension – is a defining characteristic of social dialects.

Historically, gambling communities have often interacted with criminal networks, resulting in significant lexical overlap between gambling argot and criminal slang. French argot provides a notable example. Originally associated with thieves and vagabonds, argot developed into a sophisticated linguistic system characterized by metaphorical richness and lexical innovation. Scholars such as Nodier and literary figures such as Balzac recognized its expressive potential and cultural significance. Many gambling expressions emerged within environments where secrecy was essential. Consequently, gambling argot frequently incorporates coded vocabulary, indirect references, and symbolic expressions that obscure meaning from authorities and outsiders.

The incorporation of argot into literature played an important role in documenting and disseminating gambling vocabulary. Writers such as François Villon, Eugène Sue, Honoré de Balzac, and Victor Hugo introduced argot into literary works to achieve realism and represent marginalized social groups authentically. Balzac described argot as one of the most energetic and picturesque forms of language, emphasizing its ability to evoke vivid imagery and emotional intensity. Through literature, many previously restricted expressions entered broader public awareness and became part of popular culture. The literary representation of gambling argot also demonstrates how language varieties associated with marginalized groups can influence national languages and literary traditions.

The analysis reveals several major sociolinguistic functions of gambling vocabulary. Specialized terminology facilitates efficient communication among participants engaged in gambling activities. Vocabulary serves as a marker of group membership and social identity. Argot provides a degree of secrecy and protection from external scrutiny. Many gambling expressions convey emotions, attitudes, and evaluations more effectively than standard language equivalents. Gambling terminology preserves the traditions, values, and experiences of gambling communities across generations.

CONCLUSION

Gambling argot represents a significant sociolinguistic phenomenon characterized by lexical innovation, semantic flexibility, and strong social functions. Its vocabulary emerges through processes



of metaphorization, semantic specialization, and continual lexical renewal. Beyond its communicative role, gambling language functions as a marker of identity, a mechanism of secrecy, and a reflection of social organization. The study of gambling argot contributes to broader understandings of language variation, social dialects, and the relationship between language and society. Future research may compare gambling terminology across different linguistic and cultural contexts to explore universal and culture-specific patterns of lexical development.

References:

1. Allan, K., & Burridge, K. (2006). *Forbidden Words: Taboo and the Censoring of Language*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Crystal, D. (2019). *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Language* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
3. Eble, C. (1996). *Slang and Sociability: In-group Language among College Students*. University of North Carolina Press.
4. Green, J. (2010). *Green's Dictionary of Slang*. Chambers Publishing.
5. Hugo, V. (1862). *Les Misérables*. Paris: A. Lacroix.
6. Labov, W. (1972). *Sociolinguistic Patterns*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
7. Rose, I. N. (2008). *Gambling and the Law*. Gambling Times Press.
8. Trudgill, P. (2000). *Sociolinguistics: An Introduction to Language and Society* (4th ed.). Penguin Books.
9. Fishman, J. A. (1972). *Language and Social Context*. Academic Press.